

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE MRJ-10202/03 4247 09/677,424 10/02/2000 Roy J. Mankovitz EXAMINER 25006 7590 05/24/2004 NGUYEN, HANH N GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, PC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 280 N OLD WOODARD AVE **SUITE 400** 2662 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/677,424	MANKOVITZ, ROY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh Nguyen	2662
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Response filed on 3/17/04.		
<u> </u>		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the least open and the specific product of the specific product	ccepted or b) objected to by the late drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	latent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Specification -

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The Abstract is more than 150 words. Applicant is required to make appropriate correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsumura et al. (U.S. 5,353,337, hereinafter referred to as Tsumura. Tsumura discloses a system for providing information to a user in electronic form over a telecommunications network (see figure 1), the network including the capability of determining whether the user's connection to the network is in an on-hook or off-hook condition (when the telephone 31 receiver is

Art Unit: 2662

lifted off hook a loop is formed between switching system 21 and the telephone 31 by way of telephone line 40, see lines 51-51 of column 2), the system comprising:

An information provider including a database for storing the information and an interface enabling requested information to be repetitively delivered over the telecommunications network regardless of whether the user's connection to the network is in an on-hook or off-hook condition (center 10 that includes database 12 storing compound data to continuously deliver music or image to the telephone exchange 20 regardless of the condition of the condition of switch 22 on the telephone line 40 in the telephone exchange); and

A user site including a storage device (receiver 32b stores the first data X and Y in a buffers, see lines 12-14 of column 4) and a splitter interfaced to the network for routing the information from the provider to the storage device (box in user home 30 that splits the telephone 31 and the receiving means 32 as shown in figure 1) and updating the information when the user's connection to the network is in an on-hook condition (when on hook the receiving means 32 is connected to receive broadcast signals transmitted from center 10, see lines 51-57 of column 4, and updating data received by steps 1,2, and 3 in column 4).

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tsumura (US Pat. 5,353,337)**, and in view of **Lemmons et al.** (U.S. Pat 6442,755), hereinafter referred to as **Lemmons**.

Regarding claim 1, **Tsumura** teaches a method of providing information to a user over a telecommunications network (see figure 1) including the capability of determining whether the user's connection to the network is in an on-hook or off-hook condition

(when the telephone 31 receiver is lifted off hook a loop is formed between switching system 21 and the telephone 31 by way of telephone line 40, see lines 51-51 of column 2) comprising the steps of:

Repetitively transmitting the information over the network regardless of whether the user's connection to the network in an on-hook or off hook condition (center 10 synchronously transmits data X and data Y in succession) see col.3, lines 10-20 & 40-45;

Receiving at least a portion of the information at the user site when the user's connection to the network is in an on-hook condition (receives part or all of the music or image by steps 1,2, and 3 in column 4 until the telephone is lifted off hook);

Storing the received information at the user site (receiver 32b stores the first data X and Y in a buffers, see lines 12-14 of column 4); and

Updating the information as it is received (when on hook the receiving means 32 is connected to receive broadcast signals transmitted from center 10, see lines 51 57 of column 4, and updating data received by steps 1,2, and 3 in column 4).

However, Tsumura fails to teach that the information is a television program guide.

Lemmons teaches storing electronic television program guide information in the program guide data source 14 at the main facility (provider site) on the telecommunications network as shown in figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teaching of Lemmons to modify Tsumura's list of music titles (see line 30 of column 5) to list television programs and one

Art Unit: 2662

would have arrived at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification to add television entertainment to the karaoke taught by Tsumura and expand entertainment at the user site.

Regarding claim 2, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, and Tsumura further discloses including a step of displaying the information at the user site(visual display means, see line 16 of column 6).

Regarding claims 3, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, and Tsumura further teaches encoding the information at the provider site prior to transmitting (transmission means 13 of figure 1 at the center 10 converts the data to analog broadcast signals and outputs it, see lines 17-18 of column 3; and decoding the information at the user site (receiving means 32 of figure 1 at the users home 30 converts analog broadcast signals received into digital form, see lines 64-66 of column 3).

Regarding claim 4, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, and Tsumura further teaches including the step of simultaneously transmitting the information to a plurality of sites (the examiner interprets figure 1 as an example of a connection between center 10 and one of the users done to simplify the disclosure and the full telecommunications network would include a plurality of telephone lines and user homes).

Regarding claim 5, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, but

Tsumura fails to teach delivering the information to a user site over the network in a wireless

fashion. Lemmons teaches step of delivering the information to a user site over the network in a

wireless fashion on the satellite link 18 of figure 10. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsumura's method using

Art Unit: 2662

Lemmon's teaching and arrive at the claimed invention by allowing communication links/paths include wireless links to be included in the telecommunications network. One would have been motivated to make this modification in order to allow the use of the invention in the broadest manner within existing telecommunications networks.

Page 6

Regarding claim 6, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, but Tsumura fails to teach including the step of repeating the transmission of the information to maximize the amount of information delivered to the user in the event of an off-hook or other network interruption. Lemmons teaches repeating the transmission in suitable time intervals on line 56 of column 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would use Lemmon's teaching to modify Tsumura's method by repeating the transmission on time intervals and setting the interval based on statistics related to off-hook or network interruptions in Tsumura's method to suit maximizing the information delivered to the user in the event of an off hook or other network interruption and one would have arrived at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification to maximize user satisfaction with the telecommunications network and minimize user complaints.

Regarding claim 8, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, and the examiner takes official notice that encryption and decryption is common knowledge and well known in the art of telecommunications.

Regarding claim 9, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, and the step of filtering out voice or data signals received over the network when the user 's connection is in an off-hook condition is inherent to insure there is no clash between voice on telephone 31

Art Unit: 2662

and data to the receiving means 32 that is joinly connected by the box shown in the user's house 30 of Fig.1.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsumura in view of Lemmons as applied to claim I above, and further in view of Tsumura et al (U.S. 5,357,505), hereinafter referred as Tsumura 2.

Regarding claim 7, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the method of claim 1, but fail to teach including the steps of.

Transmitting the information in the form of serial data packets; and

Reconstructing the packets at the user site.

Tsumura 2 teaches transmitting the information in packets in the IsPacket Transmission unit 12 of figure 1, and see lines 59-63 of column 3, and reconstructing the packets at the user site in the 2D Packet Receive unit 36 at the users home 30 in figure 1, and see lines 68 in column 4 to line 5 in column 5. Modifying Tsumura's method with the teaching of Tsumura 2 by using packets as taught by Tsumura 2 for the broadcast signals would result in the claimed invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Tsumura 2 to modify Tsumura and arrive at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification in order to not only have unidirectional one-to-many communication from the center unit 10 to a plurality of home devices (see lines 51-53 of column 1 of Tsumura 2), but also allow transmitting information in data form to a center (see lines 5859 of column 1 of Tsumura 2), while at the same time enabling error-free communication to be carried out between control device and terminal (see lines 66-67 of column 2 of Tsumura 2).

Regarding claims 11, 12, and 13, Tsumura teaches the system of claim 10, and further teaches information, but fails to teach wherein the information relates to a television program. Lemmons teaches information related to a television program (see figure 1).

Further:

- a) Lemmons teaches that the information is television schedule information that applies to claim 12 (see figure 5).
- b) Lemmons teaches that user site: further includes a television display (see television 36 of figure 2; and the storage device is interfaced to the television display enabling the user to view the program schedule information (see secondary storage device 32 interfaced to television 36 in figure 2) that applies to claim 13.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teaching of Lemmons to modify Tsumura's system by adding television schedules to Tsumura's stored information and a television instead of Tsumura's video display (see lines 15-16 of Tsumura) and one would have arrived at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification to addtelevision entertainment to the karaoke taught by Tsumura and expand entertainment at the user site.

Regarding claims 14, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, and Tsumura further teaches encoding the information at the provider site prior to transmitting (transmission means 13 of figure 1 at the center 10 converts the data to analog broadcast signals and outputs it, see lines 17-18 of column 3; and decoding the

Art Unit: 2662

information at the user site (receiving means 32 of figure 1 at the users home 30 converts analog broadcast signals received into digital form, see lines 64-66 of column 3).

Regarding claim 15, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, and Tsumura further teaches including the step of simultaneously transmitting the information to a plurality of sites (the examiner interprets figure 1 as an example of a connection between center 10 and one of the users done to simplify the disclosure and the full telecommunications network would include a plurality of telephone lines and user homes).

Regarding claim 16, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, but

Tsumura fails to teach delivering the information to a user site over the network in a

wireless fashion. Lemmons teaches step of delivering the information to a user site over

the network in a wireless fashion on the satellite link 18 of figure 10. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Tsumura's method using Lemmon's teaching and arrive at the claimed invention by

allowing communication links/paths include wireless links to be included in the

telecommunications network. One would have been motivated to make this modification in order

to allow the use of the invention in the broadest manner within existing telecommunications

networks.

Regarding claim 17, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, but Tsumura fails to teach including the step of repeating the transmission of the information to maximize the amount of information delivered to the user in the event of an off-hook or other network interruption. Lemmons teaches repeating the transmission in suitable time intervals on

line 56 of column 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would use Lemmon's teaching to modify Tsumura's method by repeating the transmission on time intervals and setting the interval based on statistics related to off-hook or network interruptions in Tsumura's method to suit maximizing the information delivered to the user in the event of an offhook or other network interruption and one would have arrived at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification to maximize user satisfaction with the telecommunications network and minimize user complaints.

Page 10

Regarding claim 19, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, and the step of filtering out voice or data signals received over the network when the user's connection is in an off-hook condition is inherent to insure there is no clash between voice on telephone 3 1 and

data to the receiving means 32 that are jointly connected by the box shown in the user's house 30 of figure 1.

Regarding claim 20, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 10, and the examiner takes official notice that encryption and decryption is common knowledge and well known in the art of telecommunications and using a time-dependent code is a design choice.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsumura in view of Lemmons as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tsumura 2.

Regarding claim 18, Tsumura in view of Lemmons teach the system of claim 17, but fail to teach including the steps of

Transmitting the information in the form of serial data packets; and

Art Unit: 2662

Reconstructing the packets at the user site.

Tsumura 2 teaches transmitting the information in packets in the lst Packet

Transmission unit 12 of figure 1, and see lines 59-63 of column 3, and reconstructing the packets at the user site in the 2D Packet Receive unit 36 at the users home 30 in figure 1, and see lines 68 in column 4 to line 5 in column 5. Modifying Tsumura's method with the teaching of

Tsumura 2 by using packets as taught by Tsumura 2 for the broadcast signals would result in the claimed invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Tsumura 2 to modify

Tsumura and arrive at the claimed invention. One would have been motivated to make this modification in order to not only have unidirectional one-to-many communication from the center unit 10 to a plurality of home devices (see lines 51-53 of column 1 of

Tsumura 2), but also allow transmitting information in data form to a center (see lines 58 59 of column 1 of Tsumura 2), while at the same time enabling error-free communication to be carried out between control device and terminal (see lines 66-67 of column 2 of Tsumura)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 3/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner would like to point out that **Tsumura** discloses that the center 10 retrieves data X and X from database to synchronously transmit in succession (See col.3, lines 10-20 & col.40-50). The data X and Y is transmitted when the telephone receiver is either lifted off the hook or lifted on the hook (See col.4, lines 47-60). The claimed limitation does not specify whether

information must be transmitted from the same source center or different source centers. Even though telephone receiver may receive data X, Y from different centers when lifted on hook or off hook, but however; telephone receiver line 40 does receive data in an on-hook and off-hook condition.

Therefore, examiner believes that **Tsumura** overcomes the claimed limitation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Art Unit: 2662

examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 703 306-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh, Nguyen

May 21, 2004